

PT 104/14

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1073-4.

File No. 12-34/12

192
(1 1)

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Map Part B for April 1895

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Sree Narayana Gurudeva to the Government of Travancore and Cochin regarding the land revenue of the village of Kottayam.

Continued
M

Indexed

1893

8

No. 2577

Name of Petitioner: Sreemantayya
by Mr. D. Venkataramayya

Village. Hoodlahally

Taluk. Mysore

District. DD

Date of Arzi. 10-4-93

Date of Receipt. 11-4-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. two.

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the order of the
Deputy Commissioner of Mysore
dated 9th February 1893 + pray
that his claim to the Shambaga
may be confirmed.

~~11/4~~
11/4.

In time
Stamp sufficient
Copy for a appeal adt
produced.

Proceeding to be called for
with report.

11/4

Feb 13/6 208020022
24-14-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

9

211 21-1-92

The accompanying Petition No. 2577
dated 13-1-93 received at the

Dewan's Office from Sreekanthappa of
Koodlabally, Mysore Taluk,
appearing against the Mysore
Deputy Commissioner's order dated 9-2-93
regarding his claim to the than
begin of the said taluk

is forwarded, together with its one
enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District for submission
of Proceedings with a report
on the facts of the case and on
the points taken in the petition

2 The petition should be returned

By Order,

Draft
S.V. *mm*
BANGALORE, 16/9
1893 } Chief Secretary.
ML
My

The Dy Commissioner,
Mysore Dist^{ct}

The Chief Secretary to
The Dewan

12/11/94

Received

General No.

No.

submits the report
called for in no. $\frac{\text{pet}}{1316}$ of
14th April 1893 regarding
the shambogue of Hoodan-
-halli, Mysore Taluk.

Submitted L. Beaudin

Post for 4
of your collection
to both
the printer
~~and the printer~~ OK

11/11/94

7, has entered in the house
of Comptroler for that
two hours.

U-20/11

20/11
Porto S. 14 March

Given notes to -
 (1) Mr D. W. H. Cresswell
 and per efficient
 (2) R. B. Cresswell, Son of R. B. Cresswell
 H. B. Cresswell, Secretary to R. B. Cresswell.
 Hyattsville (Bkfst) W. J. C.

6
been looking after the Shamboge of Chandra
Sekra's Villages, cannot be confirmed unless
he deposits 500 Rupees as security, and
consents to make good a portion of the
amount embezzled by Chandra Sekra.

6. The Government, in their proceedings
No 6972 dated 6th February 1889, having
325
disapproved of the proceeding of calling
upon Dasappa to pay a premium for his
appointment he was confirmed as
Shambog Sreekantia, (petitioner) petitioned
the Taluk, and the Dy Commisary, that
the Shamboge huck of 6 Villages belonged
to his family, that his father Vangappa
and his uncle Chandra Sekra being
divided members of the family, he has
full claims for the Shamboge, and that
Dasappa who was officiating as Shamboge,
had no right to it, and that he would
make good the amount embezzled by
Chandra Sekra, and that the appointment
should be registered in his name, and
produce 200 Rupees. The Dy Commisary
replied Sreekantia's petition with the
remark that if Sreekantia was undivided

The amount produced by him might be
 credited towards the amount embezzled
 by Chendrasekhar & Dasappa in his turn
 committed irregularities in failing to
 enter in the Khirdies, the amounts
 collected from Kandayam and to remit
 to the Treasury. ^{amounts collected} After enquiry, Dasappa
 was dismissed outright, without any lien
 on the appointment, on behalf of his family.
 Dasappa's brothers Ramesh and Ramappa
 and petitioner Sreekantiah claimed the
 Shanbag, and after consideration of
 the Amildar's recommendations, order
 No 619, dated 9th February, 1893, was
 issued - saying, that Sreekantiah could
 not be appointed as he had been
 declared in 1888, and 1889, by Mr. Ananda
 Rao, the then Dy. Commissioner, to have
 lost all lien on the appointment; that
 the order should have been applied
 against at the time if he was dissatisfied
 with it, but could not be reconsidered
 at this stage; that Dasappa having been
 appointed permanently Shanbag, his

The Amildar reported
 that S. K. Rao was
 a dandy & a
 of the better sort.

orig.
 dat.
 17-11-
 1893

4

his divided brother had
a right to the office, on his demerit, under
the ordinary rules, and that as his elder
brother Ramanna, was said to have
been separated from him, in interest, and
to be employed as municipal munsaddi,
at Seringapatam, he might be appointed
on probation for a year. With reference to
the grounds of appeal taken by Sreekanbia,
I may state that the only reason for my
not considering his claims to the office, was
the order of my predecessor.

If this order is not in the way, Sreekanbia would have the better claim as the office was a hereditary ~~part~~ ^{share} of his family and he had offered to make good the deficiency and as there is nothing to show that he was concerned in the mal practices of Chendrasekhar.

I have the honor to be

Sirs

Your most obedient servant

K. S. S. S. S.

In Obedience

Muzumdar

Compt
Ulu

9

The Govt. reports that if it
were not for the order of Mr.
Gandabhar he would have
preferred Sreekanthaya -
Govt. consider that Sreekanthaya
has not lost his right to
the Shantopuri by what his
other collateral relations
did when they were in
office. The Govt. therefore
are of opinion that Sreekanthaya
claim should be recognized
and he should be appointed
to the vacant office -

9/4/95 C. H.

W. D.

Drafted
H. R. 10/4

Box
Pet. Branch
MM
11/4/93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2579A
DATE 11/4/93

10 (7)

MC Venkataswamy
8-10-93
not a member of the
Mysore Dalu

To A.S.M. 138
92.93

Two Rs. stamp

The Government of Mysore.
Bangalore
Revenue Appeal no. of 1893

Appellant	}	Respondent
Sri Smt. Smt. Smt.		Ramanna, son
at Koodiahully		of Boadnoor
Mysore Dalu		Arishimappa, residing at Halay Agrahar Mysore.

The appellant, above named,
respectfully begs to appeal against
the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore dated 9th February 1893
on the following grounds:

1. That the Deputy Commissioner erred
in appointing the respondent to the Shankar
huck.
2. That the respondent has no manner
of right to the huck.
3. That the previous order of Mr. S.
7 Ananda Rao the Dy. Comr., cannot
deprive the appellant of his huck.
4. That the appellant was represented
to be an undivided member of Chennu
Sekaraya's family before Mr. S. Ananda Rao
passed

the order, but on subsequent enquiry
the Report on the point was found to
be not correct

For these reasons the applicant
prays that the huck may be restored
to the applicant and that the order
of the Dy Commr may be set aside

Bangalore
10th April 93

D. V. Maturam
Adv for app^t

Ramiah, brother ¹⁴
of the deceased
Shanbag Dasappa,
applied for the post.
The Dy Commr appointed
Ramiah as ~~member~~
Bukantiah had
been declared to have
lost his lien in the
appointment. Against
this order, Bukantiah
has preferred the
present appeal.

The Dy Commr
reports that if it
were not for the order
of ^{the Dy Commr} Mr Ananda Rao
he would have preferred
Bukantiah. The Govt-
Considers that Bu-
kantiah has not
lost his right to

the Hancock by what
 his other collateral
 relations did when
 they were in office.
 The Govt therefore are
 of opinion that
 Brinkman's claims
 should be recognized
 And he should be
 appointed to the
 vacant ~~post~~-office



By order
 Mr. [Signature]

offy Ch Secy

23 18750
 0.7.89-94 18.4.95

To Mr By Commr Suppl
 returning the record
 received with his
 letter no 480 dated
 12-11-94

By order

28/4/4

Mr [Signature]
 offy Ch Secy

Unit
 18/4/95
 [Signature]
 18.4.95

attached
 SUP. 2/52

సంఖ్య
2577

DR 120-926

17
(12)

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

మృత్యువలన కాల్పులకు గురైన పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా

పుణురు -

దేవుని పురవర్యులైన మృత్యువలన కాల్పులకు గురైన పుణురు నివాస

మృత్యువలన కాల్పులకు గురైన పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

By Order

Dr. J. V. V. V.

26/4/95

Dr. J. V. V. V.

30/4/95

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం ఇంకా పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

పుణురు నివాస కార్యకర్తల సంఘం

26/4

~~of~~	/	moocharahalli.
Sundarasékriah	DO	/ Sollay pura 2000
Varnappa - -	DO	/ Kudmalahally 2
Krishna fha	DO	/

Dated Mysore 12th November 1894

A Langasamy, General Officer

Impare distinct

The Chief Secretary to the

Devan of Impone

Bangalore

See

ii) with reference to Am. no Pet. dated
13/16

14" April, 1893, Calling for a report with
proceedings on the petition of Sreekantiah,
of Koodanahally, Mysore Taluk, I have the
honor to report that one Subbia, was the
barawardar Shanbogue, of Koodanahalli
Taluk, consisting of 11 villages. In 1880, Subbia
being old and unable to perform his work
requested that the Shanbogue may be divided
between his son and brothers as per margin
and it was ordered by the Dy. Commr. in
that the Shanbogue barawardar should
stand in the name of Subbia till his death
and that his son and brothers might be
appointed gunastah and order to

perform the Shanbogi ——— work
of the respective villages.

2. In 1883, Kalingia, Son of Barawadar

Shanbogi absconded, after embezzling
Government money. Chandra Sekria, brother
of the Barawadar, paid the amount
embezzled by Kalingia, and took up the
duties of the Shanbogi of the 2 villages in
addition to his own.

3. Chendrasekria, in his turn
embezzled Government money and he
was sentenced to 6 months imprisonment
and a fine of Rupees 200/-.

4. The Dyb Commissioner in his order
No 188, dated 15th August 1893, dismissed
Kalingia, and ordered that the heirs
of the said Kalingia having lost all
claims to the appointment an outsider
should be appointed.

5. In 1888, the Dyb Commissioner
directed in his order No 912, dated 27th
November, that Chendrasekariah and
his brothers having lost all their claims
to the Shanbogi of the 6 villages, and
that Dasappa an outsider who has

Appellant

Kantappa
siding at Koodlabally
Nizamat Taluk
In D. Venkatarao

LR. 126-93-8

Appeal 138 of 92-93

Respondent

Ramappa
Son of Budoor Vishnu
by M. C. B. Gopal Rao

The Chauchazi of Koodla-
halli Firkla, ^{consisting of 11 villages} was at the
request of Subbal the
Baravaddar, divided
in 1880 among his son
Kalingiah and brothers
Nanjappa, Chandrasekhara
Narasappa and Krishappa.
Kalingiah got Devulapura
and Goodamadanahally,
while Sallapura, Bothman-
halli, Kumbhoosally, Vargally
and Mudargalli fell to
the share of Chandrasekhara.
Subsequent to the distribution,
Vargally was amalgamated

with Pothumahal
Helugiah absconded in
1883 after misappropriating
Govt Money and Chandra.
Sekarial was allowed to
look after the duties of
Helugiah's villages in
addition to his own. But
Chandrasekharial was removed
from office as he was found
guilty of embezzlement of
Govt Money and sentenced
to imprisonment for it. In 1888
the Dy Commr ordered that a
 stranger should be appointed
Shahbag of all the six villages
as Chandrasekharial and his
brothers had forfeited their
right to them and one
Dasappa was accordingly
made Shahbag in February
1889. This Dasappa was
dismissed from
office for embezzle-
ment in 1892 ~~when~~
when Prabhakar, a
divided member of the
family of the original
Baranathar Subbar and

No.

LR 126-92-5

16

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,

The

189

Endorsement granted to

Srikantaya, of
Hoodahally, Mysore Taluk,

in reply to

Petition Registered No.

2577

dated

11-4-93

appealing against the order of the Dy Commr, Mysore
declining to appoint him as Shroff of the
Dekhalapura and other villages
Petitioner is informed that

Petitioner is referred to
the Dy Commr, to whom
instructions have been issued
to appoint him as Shroff
of the villages
Enclosure is returned

und
18/4/95
18-4-95

'By Order'

Chief Secretary.